## Governance Improvement Working Group – 9 March 2015 SWOT Analysis

1. Members' involvement in the policy-making / decision-making process		
STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES	
<ul> <li>"Known" system</li> <li>People with sound grasp of issues</li> <li>Understanding Herefordshire</li> <li>Clearly defined chain of command</li> <li>Speed of decision-making</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Don't feel involved</li> <li>Understanding roles</li> <li>Too reactive</li> <li>Resources: people (limited), and use of</li> <li>Limited involvement</li> <li>Appointment to roles (but legal requirement)</li> <li>Perception of "back room" deals</li> <li>Concern re. workloads / spread</li> <li>Lack of clarity on how to feed into decision-making process</li> <li>Balance between council and executive functions</li> </ul>	
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS	
<ul> <li>Understand and build on members' skills and experience</li> <li>Be more proactive and have closer relationship with scrutiny</li> <li>Improve communication</li> <li>Effective use of Groups</li> <li>Understand and make better use of corporate plan</li> <li>Use Understanding Herefordshire</li> <li>Use of resources in different ways</li> <li>Cross-party executive functions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Break in continuity</li> <li>Poor communication</li> <li>Resources</li> <li>Public disengagement and perception / knowledge of issues</li> </ul>	

2. How the public / stakeholders are involved		
STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES	
<ul> <li>Public meetings and media /web etc.</li> <li>Very few "exempt" reports</li> <li>Open meetings</li> <li>Questions from the public and propose items for future work plan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low response-rates</li> <li>Over-dependence on on-line methods</li> <li>Lack of public knowledge of politics / council business</li> <li>Misunderstood open-ness</li> <li>Limited focus of where questions are directed</li> <li>Number of clicks to web-site topics</li> </ul>	
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS	
<ul> <li>Use IT to increase accessibility (beyond Planning Cttee)</li> <li>Varied ways of involving public</li> <li>Formats for presentation – creativity</li> <li>Timing of meetings and locations</li> <li>Webcasting and social media</li> <li>Earlier release of draft minutes</li> <li>Transparency statement at start of website</li> </ul>	Perception of level of members' knowledge about specific issues – engagement without undue influence	

3. Having a clear scheme of delegation at member, officer and partnership level	
STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
Timely decisions	Misunderstood!
• It works	
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS
<ul> <li>Improve understanding of and clear escalation of processes / way of managing flow</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Clarify officer decision call-in</li> </ul>	
Expand call-ins	
Format / accessibility of constitution	

4. How members are involved in performance management and improvement		
STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES	
Understanding Herefordshire and amount of	Poor understanding and use of data	
data		
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS	
Better use and understanding of data	Decreasing resources	
Improve outcomes in relation to use of	Overwhelming data	
resources		
Understanding Herefordshire		
Linking outcomes to data		
Greater role for scrutiny		